	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/840,961	TANAKA, KATSUYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wen-Tai Lin	2154
All Participants: Status of Application: After non-final		
(1) <u>Wen-Tai_Lin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Blumenthal</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 February 2005	Time: <u>2:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6, 7, 10, 19, 25 and 34 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•	_	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested merging the claim features of original claims 7, 19 and 34 to independent claims 6, 10 and 25, respectively in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative concurred on 2/22/05 and agreed to use the exmainer's amendment for the changes.